

	<b>Goals and Expected Results 25%</b>	<b>Experience of the Organization or Applicant 15%</b>	<b>Adherence / applicability to funding priorities 20%</b>	<b>Methodology 30%</b>	<b>Environmental Scan 10%</b>	<b>Budget is clear and reasonable Pass / Fail</b>
<b>Excellent 100 - 89 %</b>	Project description, goals and expected results are attainable and in line with priority areas. Funding requested is proportional to the project's importance and scope. No similar projects are currently supported by the Fund. <b>15 - 13</b>	Organization or applicant has experience undertaking a similar project with similar subject matter. The initiative is a continuation of a past successful project. Applicant is knowledgeable about the subject and, if applicable, has a good relationship with population studies or served. <b>15 - 13</b>	The project fulfils one of the yearly funding priorities. <b>20 - 18</b>	The methodology conforms to current best practices and standards for the type of project considered and has proven successful in meeting the objectives of similar projects. <b>40 - 34</b>	Clearly articulates a gap in services and / or research and how the project will fill the gaps. Supplies evidence of the Importance of providing the service / research and the consequences of inaction and status quo. <b>8.6 - 10</b>	Budget is clear and completed according to application specifications. Quotes are provided where appropriate. There is no indication prices, values, salaries, honorariums etc. differ significantly from market price. <b>Pass</b>
<b>Good 88 - 75 %</b>	Project description, goals and expected results are attainable. Funding requested is proportional to the project's importance and scope. <b>12 - 10</b>	Organization or applicant has experience in projects of a similar scope using similar methods or tools. If applicable, applicant is knowledgeable about the population studies or served. <b>12 - 10</b>	The project compliments the objectives of the yearly funding priorities. <b>17 - 14</b>	The methodology conforms to current best practices and standards for the type of project considered. There is evidence to suggest methodology is effective in similar projects. <b>29 - 33</b>	Clearly articulates a gap in services and / or research and how the project will fill the gaps. <b>7 - 8.5</b>	
<b>Acceptable 74 - 63 %</b>	Project description, goals and expected results are attainable. <b>9.9 - 8</b>	Organization or applicant has a combination of experience or support adequate for the project. <b>9.9 - 8</b>	The project is within the scope of the Fund and will advance Fund objectives. <b>13 - 11</b>	The methodology conforms to current best practices and standards for the type of project considered and applicant has sufficiently demonstrated their chosen method to be effective. <b>23 - 29</b>	Demonstrates a gap in research / programs. <b>5.6 - 7</b>	
<b>Does not meet the Criteria* (less than 54%)</b>	Project Description, goals and expected results do not appear to be attainable or proportional to the issue. <b>&lt; 8</b>	Organization or applicant has not demonstrated they have sufficient experience to undertake the project. <b>&lt; 8</b>	The project does not fulfil objectives of the Fund. <b>&lt;11</b>	Project does not conform to best practices or there is reason to believe it will not achieve its objectives. <b>&lt; 22</b>	Does not sufficiently articulate the gap in services or research. <b>&lt; 5.5</b>	

\*Applicant May be asked for clarification or invited to reapply once certain conditions have been met